Practitioner's Docket No. P-1202

PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of: Vercel Ray Linton

Application No.: 10 / 766,555 Group No.: 1772 January 28, 2004 -

Examiner: not yet assigned —

For: WEAR PAD

Confirmation No.: 3097

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

STATUS INQUIRY

		111-112, June 26, 2001.	r a Notice of Allowance may subect an application to a reduction 37 C.F.R. § 1.704(c)(10). See Notice of may 29, 2001, 1247 OC
1. M	ore,	than 14 months have p	assed since
	M	NEW APPLICATIONS	
		the filing of this application	on <u>January</u> 28, 2004
		No communication has been indicating action on this app	n received from the Patent and Trademark Office olication.
		AMENDED APPLICATIONS	
		the filing of a response on _	
			as been received from the Patent and Trademark
		APPEALED APPLICATION	
		The Appeal Brief was fil	ed on
		(When using Express Mail, the	ER 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.8(a) and 1.10* Express Mail label number is mandatory; I certification is optional.)
I hereby	certif	fy that, on the date shown below, th	nis correspondence is being:
			MAILING
☑ depo Box	osited 1450	l with the United States Postal Servic , Alexandria, VA 22313-1450	e in an envelope addressed to Commissioner for Patents, P.O.
_		37 C.F.R. § 1.8(a)	37 C.F.R. § 1.10 *
b with	suffic	cient postage as first class mail.	
			Mailing Label No (mandatory)
D 4	••-		ANSMISSION
I IACS	imile i	transmitted to the Patent and Tradel	mark Office, (703)
			Nolleduct
	•		Muliput
	larc	h 29,2004	Signature

(type or print name of person certifying)

^{*} Only the date of filing (§ 1.6) will be the date used in a patent term adjustment calculation, although the date on any certificate of mailing or transmission under § 1.8 continues to be taken into account in determining timeliness. See § 1.703(f). Consider "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" (§ 1.10) or facsimile transmission (§ 1.6(d)) for the reply to be accorded the earliest possible filing date for patent term adjustment calculations.

	(check and com	plete applicable items below)					
		An Examiner's	Answer was mailed on					
			Examiner's Answer was submitted on					
	ALLOWED A	APPLICATIONS	was sasmitted on					
	the mailing	of FORM POL-	327 and/or Examiner's Amendment on					
0 1/2	-							
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Friend Dox Do	nom. A stampet	the present status of this application, by checking d return-addressed envelope is provided.					
NOTE:	M.P.E.P. § 203.00 follows:	3 Status Inquiries, t	8th Edition, cautions as to the submission of status inquiries as					
	"NEW APPLICATI	ON						
	in addition to a fi the need for sta or her new app exception, a sta	ormal Notice of Allow tus inquiries even a lication may have t	Provide for the routine mailing from the Technology Centers (TCs) allowance of an application. Thus, the mailing of a form PTOL-37 wance (PTOL-85) in all allowed applications would seem to obviate as a precautionary measure where the applicant may believe his been passed to issue on the first examination. However, as an appropriate where a Notice of Allowance is not received within PTOL-37.					
	of the "oldest ne expected time fi	ew applications" apparames of when the	aim to minimize the spread in dates among the various examiner h respect to actions on new applications. Accordingly, the dates pearing in the Official Gazette are fairly reliable guides as to the examiners reach the applications or action.					
	"Therefore, it sh	ould be rarely nece	essary to query the status of a new application.					
	AMENDED APPLI	CATIONS						
	"Amended applications are expected to be taken up by the examiner and an action completed within two months of the date the examiner receives the application. Accordingly, a status inquiry is not in order after reply by the attorney until 5 or 6 months have elapsed with no response from the Office. A postcard receipt for replies to Office actions, adequately and specifically identifying the papers filed, will be considered prima facie proof of receipt of such papers. Where such proof indicates the timely filing of a reply, the submission of a copy of the postcard with a copy of the reply will ordinarily obviate the need for a petition to revive. Proof of receipt of a timely reply to a final action will obviate the need for a petition to revive only if the reply was in compliance with 37 CFR 1.113."							
Reg. No.	: 31,945	4	Scott R. Cox (type or print name of practitioner)					
Tel. No.:	(502) 589–	4215	400 West Market St., Ste. 2200 P.O. Address					

(Status Inquiry [9-3]—page 2 of 3)

Louisville, Kentucky 40202

Customer No.:

FORM 9-3	

(Rel.95-7/03 Pub.605)

9-13

œ'	T,	•		•	11	u	^	 ı	D.	v	R	E	D	•	v
•	.,	••	v	•		•	ч		n	ı	п	5	•	٠,	

APPLIC	ATIO	N SERIAL NO. 0 / IS CURRENTLY
	ASS	SIGNED TO GROUP AND AWAITS:
		ACTION BY THE EXAMINER.
		APPLICANT'S RESPONSE TO THE OFFICE ACTION MAILED
		
APPEAI	L NO)
		AWAITING ACTION BY THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFER- CES
		DATE OF HEARING EXPECTED
		DECISIONI EYPECTED